

## **SECTION 23**

### **The Chicago Statements on Biblical Inerrancy and Hermeneutics**

# **The Chicago Statement on Biblical Inerrancy**

## **Preface**

The authority of Scripture is a key issue for the Christian Church in this and every age. Those who profess faith in Jesus Christ as Lord and Savior are called to show the reality of their discipleship by humbly and faithfully obeying God's written Word. To stray from Scripture in faith or conduct is disloyalty to our Master. Recognition of the total truth and trustworthiness of Holy Scripture is essential to a full grasp and adequate confession of its authority.

The following Statement affirms this inerrancy of Scripture afresh, making clear our understanding of it and warning against its denial. We are persuaded that to deny it is to set aside the witness of Jesus Christ and of the Holy Spirit and to refuse that submission to the claims of God's own Word which marks true Christian faith. We see it as our timely duty to make this affirmation in the face of current lapses from the truth of inerrancy among our fellow Christians and misunderstanding of this doctrine in the world at large.

This Statement consists of three parts: a Summary Statement, Articles of Affirmation and Denials, and an accompanying Exposition. It has been prepared in the course of a three-day consultation in Chicago. Those who have signed the Summary Statement and the Articles wish to confirm their own conviction as to the inerrancy of Scripture and to encourage and challenge one another and all Christians to growing appreciation and understanding of this doctrine. We acknowledge the limitations of a document prepared in a brief, intensive conference and do not propose that this Statement be given credal weight. Yet we rejoice in the deepening of our own convictions through our discussions together, and we pray that the Statement we have signed may be used to the glory of our God toward a new reformation of the Church in its faith, life and mission.

We offer this Statement in a spirit, not of contention, but of humility and love, which we purpose by God's grace to maintain in any future dialogue arising out of what we have said. We gladly acknowledge that many who deny the inerrancy of Scripture do not display the consequences of this denial in the rest of their belief and behavior, and we are conscious that we who confess this doctrine often deny it in life by failing to bring our thoughts and deeds, our traditions and habits, into true subjection to the divine Word.

We invite response to this statement from any who see reason to amend its affirmations about Scripture by the light of Scripture itself, under whose infallible authority we stand as we speak. We claim no personal infallibility for the witness we bear, and for any help which enables us to strengthen this testimony to God's Word we shall be grateful.

Drafted October 26 – 28, 1978  
Summit I  
International Council on Biblical Inerrancy  
Chicago, Illinois

## **A Short Statement**

1. God, who is Himself Truth and speaks truth only, has inspired Holy Scripture in order thereby to reveal Himself to lost mankind through Jesus Christ as Creator and Lord, Redeemer and Judge. Holy Scripture is God's witness to Himself.
2. Holy Scripture, being God's own Word, written by men prepared and superintended by His Spirit, is of infallible divine authority in all matters upon which it touches: it is to be believed, as God's instruction, in all that it affirms; obeyed, as God's command, in all that it requires; embraced, as God's pledge, in all that it promises.
3. The Holy Spirit, Scripture's Divine Author, both authenticates it to us by His inward witness and opens our minds to understand its meaning.
4. Being wholly and verbally God-given, Scripture is without error or fault in all its teaching, no less in what it states about God's acts in creation, about the events of world history, and about its own literary origins under God, than in its witness to God's saving grace in individual lives.
5. The authority of Scripture is inescapably impaired if this total divine inerrancy is in any way limited or disregarded, or made relative to a view of truth contrary to the Bible's own; and such lapses bring serious loss to both the individual and the Church.

## **Articles of Affirmation and Denial**

### **Article I**

**We affirm** that the Holy Scriptures are to be received as the authoritative Word of God.

**We deny** that the Scriptures receive their authority from the Church, tradition or any other human source.

### **Article II**

**We affirm** that the Scriptures are the supreme written norm by which God binds the conscience, and that the authority of the Church is subordinate to that of Scripture.

**We deny** that Church creeds, councils or declarations have authority greater than or equal to the authority of the Bible.

### **Article III**

**We affirm** that the written Word in its entirety is revelation given by God.

**We deny** that the Bible is merely a witness to revelation, or only becomes revelation in encounter, or depends on the responses of men for its validity.

### **Article IV**

**We affirm** that God who made mankind in His image has used language as a means of revelation.

**We deny** that human language is so limited by our creatureliness that it is rendered inadequate as a vehicle for divine revelation. We further deny that the corruption of human culture and language through sin has thwarted God's Work of Inspiration.

### **Article V**

**We affirm** that God's revelation in the Holy Scriptures was progressive.

**We deny** that later revelation, which may fulfill earlier revelation, ever corrects or contradicts it. We further deny that any normative revelation has been given since the completion of the New Testament writings.

### **Article VI**

**We affirm** that the whole of Scripture and all its parts, down to the very words of the original, were given by divine inspiration.

**We deny** that the inspiration of Scripture can rightly be affirmed of the whole without the parts, or of some parts but not the whole.

### **Article VII**

**We affirm** that inspiration was the work in which God by His Spirit, through human writers, gave us His Word. The origin of Scripture is divine. The mode of divine inspiration remains largely a mystery to us.

**We deny** that inspiration can be reduced to human insight, or to heightened states of consciousness of any kind.

### **Article VIII**

**We affirm** that God in His Work of Inspiration utilized the distinctive personalities and literary styles of the writers whom He had chosen and prepared.

**We deny** that God, in causing these writers to use the very words that He chose, overrode their personalities.

### **Article IX**

**We affirm** that inspiration, though not conferring omniscience, guaranteed true and trustworthy utterance on all matters of which the Biblical authors were moved to speak and write.

**We deny** that the finitude or fallenness of these writers, by necessity or otherwise, introduced distortion or falsehood into God's Word.

### **Article X**

**We affirm** that inspiration, strictly speaking, applies only to the autographic text of Scripture, which in the providence of God can be ascertained from available manuscripts with great accuracy. We further affirm that copies and translations of Scripture are the Word of God to the extent that they faithfully represent the original.

**We deny** that any essential element of the Christian faith is affected by the absence of the autographs. We further deny that this absence renders the assertion of Biblical inerrancy invalid or irrelevant.

### **Article XI**

**We affirm** that Scripture, having been given by divine inspiration, is infallible, so that, far from misleading us, it is true and reliable in all the matters it addresses.

**We deny** that it is possible for the Bible to be at the same time infallible and errant in its assertions. Infallibility and inerrancy may be distinguished, but not separated.

### **Article XII**

**We affirm** that Scripture in its entirety is inerrant, being free from all falsehood, fraud or deceit.

**We deny** that Biblical infallibility and inerrancy are limited to spiritual, religious or redemptive themes, exclusive of assertions in the fields of history and science. We further deny that scientific hypotheses about earth history may properly be used to overturn the teaching of Scripture on creation and the flood.

### **Article XIII**

**We affirm** the propriety of using inerrancy as a theological term with reference to the complete truthfulness of Scripture.

**We deny** that it is proper to evaluate Scripture according to standards of truth and error that are alien to its usage or purpose. We further deny that inerrancy is negated by Biblical phenomena such as a lack of modern technical precision, irregularities of grammar or spelling, observational descriptions of nature, the reporting of falsehoods, the use of hyperbole and round numbers, the topical arrangement of materials, variant selections of material in parallel accounts or the use of free citations.

### **Article XIV**

**We affirm** the unity and internal consistency of Scripture.

**We deny** that alleged errors and discrepancies that have not yet been resolved vitiate the truth claims of the Bible.

### **Article XV**

**We affirm** that the doctrine of inerrancy is grounded in the teaching of the Bible about inspiration.

**We deny** that Jesus' teaching about Scripture may be dismissed by appeals to accommodation or to any natural limitation of His humanity.

### **Article XVI**

**We affirm** that the doctrine of inerrancy has been integral to the Church's faith throughout its history.

**We deny** that inerrancy is a doctrine invented by Scholastic Protestantism, or is a reactionary position postulated in response to negative higher criticism.

### **Article XVII**

**We affirm** that the Holy Spirit bears witness to the Scriptures, assuring believers of the truthfulness of God's written Word.

**We deny** that this witness of the Holy Spirit operates in isolation from or against Scripture.

### **Article XVIII**

**We affirm** that the text of Scripture is to be interpreted by grammatico-historical exegesis, taking account of its literary forms and devices, and that Scripture is to interpret Scripture.

**We deny** the legitimacy of any treatment of the text or quest for sources lying behind it that leads to relativizing, dehistoricizing, or discounting its teaching or rejecting its claims to authorship.

### **Article XIX**

**We affirm** that a confession of the full authority, infallibility, and inerrancy of Scripture is vital to a sound understanding of the whole of the Christian faith. We further affirm that such confession should lead to increasing conformity to the image of Christ.

**We deny** that such confession is necessary for salvation. However, we further deny that inerrancy can be rejected without grave consequences, both to the individual and to the Church.

## The Chicago Statement on Biblical Hermeneutics

Summit I of the International Council on Biblical Inerrancy took place in Chicago on October 26 – 28, 1978 for the purpose of affirming afresh the doctrine of the inerrancy of Scripture, making clear the understanding of it and warning against its denial. In the four years since Summit I, God has blessed that effort in ways surpassing most anticipations. A gratifying flow of helpful literature on the doctrine of inerrancy as well as a growing commitment to its value give cause to pour forth praise to our great God.

The work of Summit I had hardly been completed when it became evident that there was yet another major task to be tackled. While we recognize that belief in the inerrancy of Scripture is basic to maintaining its authority, the values of that commitment are only as real as one's understanding of the meaning of Scripture. Thus, the need for Summit II. For two years plans were laid and papers were written on themes relating to hermeneutical principles and practices. The culmination of this effort has been a meeting in Chicago on November 10-13, 1982 at which we, the undersigned, have participated.

In similar fashion to the Chicago Statement of 1978, we herewith present these affirmations and denials as an expression of the results of our labors to clarify hermeneutical issues and principles. We do not claim completeness or systematic treatment of the entire subject, but these affirmations and denials represent a consensus of the approximately one hundred participants and observers gathered at this conference. It has been a broadening experience to engage in dialogue, and it is our prayer that God will use the product of our diligent efforts to enable us and others to more correctly handle the word of truth.

### Council

Gleason L. Archer  
William N. Garrison  
Harold W. Hoehner  
Roger R. Nicole  
Earl D. Radmacher  
R. C. Sproul

James M. Boice  
Norman L. Geisler  
A. Wetherell Johnson  
James I. Packer  
Moishe Rosen

Edmund P. Clowney  
Jay H. Grimstead  
Kenneth S. Kantzer  
Robert D. Preus  
Frederic R. Schatz

### Advisory Board

Jay E. Adams  
Gregory L. Bahnsen  
Wm R. Bright  
Robert K. DeVries  
Donald E. Hoke  
D. James Kennedy  
Fred H. Klooster  
Harold B. Kuhn  
Harold Lindsell  
Allan A. MacRae  
Harold J. Ockenga  
Paige Patterson  
Robert L. Saucy  
Morton H. Smith  
G. Aiken Taylor  
Larry L. Walker  
Luder G. Whitlock

John W. Alexander  
Nelson C. Bennett, III  
L. Russ Bush  
Charles L. Feinberg  
Paul C. Johnson  
Jay L. Kesler  
George W. Knight  
Samuel R. Kulling  
Walter A. Maier  
Josh D. McDowell  
Raymond C. Ortlund  
Adrian P. Rogers  
Francis A. Schaeffer  
Jack Sonneveldt  
Merrill C. Tenney  
John D. Walt  
Bruce H. Wilkinson

Hudson T. Armerding  
Henn A. G. Blocher  
W. A. Criswell  
John H. Gerstner  
David E. Kelby  
Frank N. Kik  
Hendrik Krabbendam  
Gordon R. Lewis  
John F. MacArthur  
William E. Nix  
Luis Palau  
Lorne C. Sanny  
Joseph R. Shultz  
Raymond C. Stedman  
Fred G. Wacker  
John F. Walvoord



# Articles of Affirmation and Denial

## Article I

**We affirm** that the normative authority of Holy Scripture is the authority of God Himself, and is attested by Jesus Christ, the Lord of the Church.

**We deny** the legitimacy of separating the authority of Christ from the authority of Scripture, or of opposing the one to the other.

## Article II

**We affirm** that as Christ is God and man in one person, so Scripture is, indivisibly, God's Word in human language.

**We deny** that the humble, human form of Scripture entails errancy any more than the humanity of Christ, even in His humiliation, entails sin.

## Article III

**We affirm** that the person and work of Jesus Christ are the central focus of the entire Bible.

**We deny** that any method of interpretation which rejects or obscures the Christ-centeredness of Scripture is correct.

## Article IV

**We affirm** that the Holy Spirit who inspired Scripture acts through it today to work faith in its message.

**We deny** that the Holy Spirit ever teaches to anyone anything which is contrary to the teaching of Scripture.

## Article V

**We affirm** that the Holy Spirit enables believers to appropriate and apply Scripture to their lives.

**We deny** that the natural man is able to discern spiritually the Biblical message apart from the Holy Spirit.

## **Article VI**

**We affirm** that the Bible expresses God’s truth in propositional statements, and we declare that Biblical truth is both objective and absolute. We further affirm that a statement is true if it represents matters as they actually are, but is an error if it misrepresents the facts.

**We deny** that, while Scripture is able to make us wise unto salvation, Biblical truth should be defined in terms of this function. We further deny that error should be defined as that which willfully deceives.

## **Article VII**

**We affirm** that the meaning expressed in each Biblical text is single, definite and fixed.

**We deny** that the recognition of this single meaning eliminates the variety of its application.

## **Article VIII**

**We affirm** that the Bible contains teachings and mandates which apply to all cultural and situational contexts and other mandates which the Bible itself shows apply only to particular situations.

**We deny** that the distinction between the universal and particular mandates of Scripture can be determined by cultural and situational factors. We further deny that universal mandates may ever be treated as culturally or situationally relative.

## **Article IX**

**We affirm** that the term hermeneutics, which historically signified the rules of exegesis, may properly be extended to cover all that is involved in the process of perceiving what the Biblical revelation means and how it bears on our lives.

**We deny** that the message of Scripture derives from, or is dictated by, the interpreter’s understanding. Thus we deny that the “horizons” of the Biblical writer and the interpreter may rightly “fuse” in such a way that what the text communicates to the interpreter is not ultimately controlled by the expressed meaning of the Scripture.

## **Article X**

**We affirm** that Scripture communicates God’s truth to us verbally through a wide variety of literary forms.

**We deny** that any of the limits of human language render Scripture inadequate to convey God’s message.

## **Article XI**

**We affirm** that translations of the text of Scripture can communicate knowledge of God across all temporal and cultural boundaries.

**We deny** that the meaning of Biblical texts is so tied to the culture out of which they came that understanding of the same meaning in other cultures is impossible.

## **Article XII**

**We affirm** that in the task of translating the Bible and teaching it in the context of each culture, only those functional equivalents, which are faithful to the content of Biblical teaching, should be employed.

**We deny** the legitimacy of methods which either are insensitive to the demands of cross-cultural communication or distort Biblical meaning in the process.

## **Article XIII**

**We affirm** that awareness of the literary categories, formal and stylistic, of the various parts of Scripture is essential for proper exegesis, and hence we value genre criticism as one of the many disciplines of Biblical study.

**We deny** that generic categories which negate historicity may rightly be imposed on Biblical narratives which present themselves as factual.

## **Article XIV**

**We affirm** that the Biblical record of events, discourses and sayings, though presented in a variety of appropriate literary forms, corresponds to historical fact.

**We deny** that any event, discourse or saying reported in Scripture was invented by the Biblical writers or by the traditions they incorporated.

## **Article XV**

**We affirm** the necessity of interpreting the Bible according to its literal, or normal, sense. The literal sense is the grammatical-historical sense, that is, the meaning which the writer expressed. Interpretation according to the literal sense will take account of all figures of speech and literary forms found in the text.

**We deny** the legitimacy of any approach to Scripture that attributes to it meaning which the literal sense does not support.

## **Article XVI**

**We affirm** that legitimate critical techniques should be used in determining the canonical text and its meaning.

**We deny** the legitimacy of allowing any method of Biblical criticism to question the truth or integrity of the writer's expressed meaning, or of any other scriptural teaching.

## **Article XVII**

**We affirm** the unity, harmony and consistency of Scripture and declare that it is its own best interpreter.

**We deny** that Scripture may be interpreted in such a way as to suggest that one passage corrects or militates against another. We deny that later writers of Scripture misinterpreted earlier passages of Scripture when quoting from or referring to them.

## **Article XVIII**

**We affirm** that the Bible's own interpretation of itself is always correct, never deviating from, but rather elucidating, the single meaning of the inspired text. The single meaning of a prophet's words includes, but is not restricted to, the understanding of those words by the prophet and necessarily involves the intention of God evidenced in the fulfillment of those words.

**We deny** that the writers of Scripture always understood the full implications of their own words.

## **Article XIX**

**We affirm** that any preunderstandings which the interpreter brings to Scripture should be in harmony with scriptural teaching and subject to correction by it.

**We deny** that Scripture should be required to fit alien preunderstandings, inconsistent with itself, such as naturalism, evolutionism, scientism, secular humanism, and relativism.

## **Article XX**

**We affirm** that since God is the author of all truth, all truths, Biblical and extrabiblical, are consistent and cohere, and that the Bible speaks truth when it touches on matters pertaining to nature, history or anything else. We further affirm that in some cases extrabiblical data have value for clarifying what Scripture teaches, and for prompting correction of faulty interpretations.

**We deny** that extrabiblical views ever disprove the teaching of Scripture or hold priority over it.

### **Article XXI**

**We affirm** the harmony of special with general revelation and therefore of Biblical teaching with the facts of nature.

**We deny** that any genuine scientific facts are inconsistent with the true meaning of any passage of Scripture.

### **Article XXII**

**We affirm** that Genesis 1 – 11 is factual, as is the rest of the book.

**We deny** that the teachings of Genesis 1 – 11 are mythical and that scientific hypotheses about earth history or the origin of humanity may be invoked to overthrow what Scripture teaches about creation.

### **Article XXIII**

**We affirm** the clarity of Scripture and specifically of its message about salvation from sin.

**We deny** that all passages of Scripture are equally clear or have equal bearing on the message of redemption.

### **Article XXIV**

**We affirm** that a person is not dependent for understanding of Scripture on the expertise of Biblical scholars.

**We deny** that a person should ignore the fruits of the technical study of Scripture by Biblical scholars.

### **Article XXV**

**We affirm** that the only type of preaching which sufficiently conveys the divine revelation and its proper application to life is that which faithfully expounds the text of Scripture as the Word of God.

**We deny** that the preacher has any message from God apart from the text of Scripture.